



TITLE:

Language Minority Students and Parent-School Partnerships

AUTHOR(S):

Saito, Katsura

CITATION:

Saito, Katsura. Language Minority Students and Parent-School Partnerships. The Self, the Other and Language : Dialogue between Philosophy, Psychology and Comparative Education 2009: 161-165

ISSUE DATE:

2009-02-20

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/142981>

RIGHT:

The copyright of papers included in this volume belong to each author.

Language Minority Students and Parent-School Partnerships

KATSURA SAITO

Graduate School of Education, Kyoto University

Parental involvement is an essential element in the success of language minority students (LMS). School districts and schools design to promote more effective parental involvement. It reflects the view that effective parental involvement spurs fostering LMS's identity and improvement in learning.

In the United States, parental involvement is legalized by legislation, and noteworthy activities on the matter are implemented by school districts. This paper provides key information to educators and local policymakers, who constantly face the challenge of how best to structure and implement education for LMS, those who are disadvantaged, and to empower parents in an effort to foster LMS identity and to improve academic performance. In the main section, approaches to involving hard-to-reach parents are discussed.

I. BACKGROUND OF THIS STUDY

By the U.S. Department of Education's definition (2004), parent involvement occurs when parents and educators participate in 'regular two-way and meaningful communication involving student academic learning and other school activities'. When using the term *parent*, this paper intends it to refer as well to a child's guardian or any other adult who plays a significant role in a minor's life.

Involvement in children's education starts at home, of course, with primary caregivers providing love, a healthy environment, developmentally appropriate learning experiences, and, as children start school, encouragement, a positive attitude about learning, and homework support. But within the formal education system, parent involvement is most effective when viewed as a partnership between parents and the school.

In this paper, I would like to provide a brief overview of parent-school partnership in the U.S. I will cover recent legislation, challenges to involving language minorities, and the problems of how to close the gap and how to connect with hard-to-reach parents. The purpose is to clarify the importance of parental involvement and to highlight the issues facing parents and students with limited English proficiency in building parent-school partnerships.

II. THE LITERATURE STUDY

Literature studies show that children whose parents are involved show greater social and emotional development (Allen & Daly, 2002), including more resilience to stress, greater life satisfaction, greater self-direction and self-control, greater social adjustment, greater mental health, more supportive relationships, greater social competence, more positive peer relations, more tolerance, more successful marriages and less delinquent behaviour (Desforges & Abouchaar, 2003).

Parents help prepare their children to learn at all ages by keeping them healthy and safe and by supervising, disciplining and guiding them. They help children feel good about themselves and confident with others, which are related to a sound identity. They teach them a positive attitude about learning and school. Henderson & Mapp (2002) found that 'students with involved parents, no matter what their income or background, were more likely to earn higher grades and test scores and enroll in higher-level programmes; be promoted, pass their classes and earn credits; attend school regularly; have better social skills, show improved behaviour and adapt well to school; and graduate and go on to postsecondary education'.

Additionally, the study found, 'Schools that succeed in engaging families from very diverse backgrounds share three key practices: 1. focusing on building trusting collaborative relationships among teachers, families and community members; 2. recognizing, respecting and addressing families' needs and any class and cultural differences; and 3. embracing a philosophy of partnership where power and responsibility are shared'. But, what exactly is parent involvement?

Epstein (1997) has organized the role of parents into 6 types. They are: 1. parenting: assist families with parenting and child-rearing skills, understanding child and adolescent development and setting home conditions that support children as students at each age and grade level. Assist schools in understanding families; 2. communicating: communicate with families about school programmes and student progress through effective school-to-home and home-to-school communications; 3. volunteering: improve recruitment, training, work and schedules to involve families as volunteers and audiences at school or in other locations to support students and school programmes; 4. learning at home: involve families with their children in learning activities at home, including homework and other curriculum-related activities and decisions; 5. decision making: include families as participants in school decisions, governance and advocacy through PTA/PTO, school councils, committees, action teams and other parent organizations; and 6. collaborating with the community: coordinate resources and services for students, families and the school with businesses, agencies and other groups and provide services to the community.

The typology is important, because they lead to a better understanding of the many kinds of partnerships that contribute to students' success. Often important partnerships such as learning in the family and family-school communication are not readily acknowledged. Knowing their importance encourages schools and parents to undertake them.

So far, we have shared knowledge that parent involvement affects students' success. In general, the effectiveness of parent involvement appears to be convincing, but is actually somewhat arguable. Even though parent involvement is regarded in the literature as a key to bridging the achievement gap, my concern remains that parents' limited English proficiency might leave a gap, or perhaps widen it. I am concerned about it, because parent involvement is now reinforced in a new Elementary and Secondary Education Act, called NCLB.

III. CURRENT LEGISLATION ON INVOLVING PARENTS

Although the system has been established, not every parent can get involved. If parents do not understand English, this difficulty means a lot.

NCLB (*No Child Left Behind Act of 2001*) was signed into law in January 2002. This legislation is intended to help close the achievement gap between disadvantaged and minority students and their peers by improving public schools, and this federal legislation is based on four basic principles: stronger accountability for results, increased flexibility and local control, expanded options for parents and an emphasis on proven teaching methods. Key features include the alignment of high state academic standards and statewide assessments, the use of qualified teachers, greater parent involvement and when schools do not perform up to par, the options of school choice, supplemental tutoring, or both, for eligible students.

- All parents are informed and have an opportunity to support increased student achievement.
- Inform all parents about opportunities for involvement at home and in school.
- Inform about parents' rights and roles in school improvement in appropriate languages and formats.
- Provide materials and training to prepare parents to help their children increase academic achievement.

In other sections, there are regulations for school districts to maintain a parent involvement policy as below:

- District distributes the parent involvement policy to parents.
- District distributes to parents a report card on the performance of every school and of the district as a whole.
- District along with parents conducts a yearly evaluation of its parental involvement policy.
- District promotes family and school partnership and builds capacity for parents and staff to work together to raise student achievement.

As above, there are regulations that involve parents. However, Lareau states it will not work easily: 'The barriers are enormous and it's not about parents not wanting to be helpful' (*Education Week*, 2006). Districts and schools search for ways to engage greater numbers of parents in more meaningful ways, but many find it challenging to increase the rates and types of parental involvement. This appears to be especially true at schools serving low-income and limited English proficient populations for whom a variety of factors are likely to inhibit parent involvement, including difficult family circumstances, for instance, parents working multiple jobs, homelessness, uncertain immigration status, parents' negative education experiences when they were students, language barriers and, for some immigrant parents, cultural norms supporting the idea that they should not question teachers.

What it more likely means is that many parents do not know how to get involved, do not feel capable of contributing in a meaningful way, or simply do not feel welcome, because of their lack of language skills. What these parents need is more information, support, encouragement, or, specific training—something that schools and districts are not always well positioned to provide.

To close the gap between well-involved parents and those who are not due to

language barriers, schools and school districts should assess communication needs (e.g. language/ literacy level), work through established education associations to build trust and deliver information to teachers and other educators and address parents' diverse language needs by offering bilingual or multilingual materials, Web sites and trainings.

IV. HOW TO REACH HARD-TO-REACH PARENTS

Once parents understand their opportunities in relation to their children's education and once they know how to formulate and ask the right questions and feel comfortable doing so, they are ready to better support their own children. They also are more likely to feel at ease volunteering in their child's classroom or helping out occasionally by working on a specific school-wide project (e.g., assisting with a fundraiser, participating in a cleanup day, etc.).

Certainly, hard-to-reach parents exist at every site. To engage those parents, programme arrangement and formulas of recruitment have to be considered. There are possible factors to encourage involvement. The factors include providing information and materials to community-based organizations that are in direct contact with hard-to-reach populations, such as homeless shelters, local Head Start programmes and faith-based organizations. In addition, liaisons should be trained to make home visits that are informed, targeted and culturally sensitive. School districts should help recruit liaisons who can easily integrate into the target community. Also, contracting with community-based organizations to disseminate information is recommended. Hosting summits and trainings that bring community-based organizations from across the state together for train-the-trainers sessions will increase the options. It is important to involve the community in order to connect with hard-to-reach parents, but this method and others that have been mentioned above are not very realistic. It is expensive and takes time to be noticed by parents. In my opinion, the easiest and most effective way to involve hard-to-reach parents is by providing food. Utilizing food as a lure may attract parents who are not interested in their children's education. What is more important, we do not need concise language skills in front of delicious meals, and food tends to dissolve walls. Once they have connected with teachers and other parents, it becomes much easier for both providers and recipients to keep or deepen relationships later on.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper has provided a snapshot of school-family and community partnerships. Now, the effort to involve LMS's parents is becoming very important. In 2001, Hispanic students became the majority population in California's elementary schools. During the past decade, Hispanic enrollment district-wide has more than doubled. Many Hispanic parents come from cultures that shy away from parental involvement in schools. The first step toward encouraging their support is building their confidence. Applying the principles of inclusion and involvement to all parents and schools would cut across and eliminate artificial boundaries based on race and color and other traits and constructs.

Of course, parent involvement is not the magic answer. There is no single solution for the education struggles that LMS endures. However, parents cannot be relegated to the sidelines or seen as less important. High achieving schools and school districts

recognize that parents have a place at the table.

REFERENCES

- Allen, S.M. & Daly, K. (2002) The Effects of Father Involvement: A summary of the research evidence. *The FIIONEWS*, (1), 1-11.
- Booth, Alan & Judith F. Dunn (eds.) (1995) *Family-School Links: How Do They Affect Educational Outcomes?* Hillside, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Desforges, C. & Abouchaar, A. (2003) *The Impact of Parental Involvement, Parental Support and Family Education on Pupil Achievement and Adjustment: A literature review*. London: Department for Education and Skills.
- Deutscher, Rebecca & Ibe, Mary. *Relationships Between Parental Involvement and Children's Motivation*. Lewis Center for Educational Research, Apple Valley, California. <http://www.lewiscenter.org/research/relationships.pdf> (retrieved 30/05/2008)
- Epstein, J.L., Coates, L., Salinas, K.C., Sanders, M.G. & Simon, B.S. (1997) *School, family, and community partnerships: Your handbook for action*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Henderson, A. T. & Mapp, K. L. (2002) *A New Wave of Evidence: The impact of school, family, and community connections on student achievement*. Austin, TX: Southwest Educational Development Laboratory, National Center for Family & Community Connections with Schools. <http://www.sedl.org/connections/resources/evidence.pdf> (retrieved 30/05/2008).
- Keller, Bess. (2006) 'Views Differ Over NCLB Rules on Involving Parents.' *Education Week*. 2006/9/20.
- Lareau, Annette. (1989) *Home Advantage: Social Class and Parental Intervention in Elementary Education*. Philadelphia: Falmer.
- Pomerantz, K.A., Hughes, M. & Thompson, D. (2007) *How to Reach Hard-to-reach Children: Improving access, participation and outcomes*. John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Shumer, R.D. (1993) *Community-based Learning: An evaluation*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of American Education Research Association.
- U.S. Department of Education (2004) *Parental Involvement, Title I, Part A: Non-regulatory guidance*. Washington, DC.
- Van Voorhis, Frances L. (2003) 'Interactive Homework in Middle School: Effects on Family Involvement and Students' Science Achievement.' *Journal of Educational Research*, 96:323-39.

